

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/668,428	RICCI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R. Evanisko	3762

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) George R. Evanisko.

(3) _____.

(2) Marvin Beekman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-16, 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

cancellation of claims 11-16 without prejudice due to an election by original presentation. In addition, the dependency of claims 18-20 were changed due to a minor claim objection since they should depend from claim 17.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)